

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Appl. No.

09/890,907

Confirmation No. 9488

Applicant (s)

Fibiger, et al.

Filed

November 16, 2001

TC/A.U. Examiner 1732

:

Title

Kuhns

NANOCOMPOSITE ARTICLES AND PROCESS FOR MAKING

Docket No.

44114

Customer No.

00109

THEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS CORRESPONDENCE IS BEING DEPOSITED WITH THE UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE AS FIRST CLASS MAIL WITH SUFFICIENT POSTAGE IN AN ENVELOPE ADDRESSED TO: ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATIENTS, P.O. BOX 1450,

ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450, ON:

Beth L. Ramon

Mail Stop Appeal Brief-Patents Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

#### **BRIEF FOR APPELLANT - FEE SHEET**

This is an appeal to the Board of Appeals from the action of the Primary Examiner finally rejecting Claims 1, 3, and 4, in the above-identified patent application.

Please charge the \$330.00 fee to our Deposit Account No. 04-1512. If this amount is incorrect, please charge or credit our account accordingly. One original and two duplicate copies of this sheet are enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

Nemia C. Damocles

Registration No. 28,368

Phone: (989) 636-9928

P. O. Box 1967 Midland, MI 48641-1967



# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Appl. No.

09/890,907

Confirmation No. 9488

Applicant (s)

Fibiger, et al.

Filed

November 16, 2001

TC/A.U.

1732

Examiner

Kuhns

Title

NANOCOMPOSITE ARTICLES AND PROCESS FOR MAKING

Docket No.

44114

Customer No.

00109

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS CORRESPONDENCE IS BEING DEPOSITED WITH THE UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE, WITH SUFFICIENT POSTAGE, AS FIRST CLASS MAIL. IN AN ENVELOPE ADDRESSED TO: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS, WASHINGTON, D.C. 2021, On DATE OF DEPOSIT

Beth L. Ramon PRINT OR PPENAM OF PERSON SIGNING CERTIFICATE

DATE OF SIGNATURE

Mail Stop Appeal Brief-Patents Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

# **BRIEF FOR APPELLANT**

This is an appeal to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences from the Final Rejection of the Examiner rejecting Claims 1, 3 and 4.

Three copies of the Brief are supplied herewith for the Honorable Board of Appeals. In accordance with 37 CFR §1.194(b), Appellants defer request for oral hearing until one month after the date of the Examiner's answer.

# Real Party in Interest

The Real Party in Interest in this Appeal is The Dow Chemical

Company.

05/18/2004 SLUANG1 00000026 041512 09890907

01 FC:1402

330.00 DA

44114 -1-

Appln. No. 09/890,907 Response dated May 13, 2004 Reply to Office Action of May 5, 2004

## Related Appeals and Interferences

At this time there are no related appeals or interferences.

# Status of Claims

Claims 1, 3 and 4 are on appeal, having been finally rejected in a communication mailed on December 17, 2003. A copy of the claims on appeal is attached as an appendix to this appeal (Appendix A).

## Status of Amendments

The Advisory Action mailed on May 5, 2004 did not indicate whether or not the amendment to the specification made in Applicants' Response to the Final Rejection was entered into the file. Applicants did not make any further amendments to the claims.

# **Summary of Invention**

Referring to Claim 1 and to the specification, on Page 1, Lines 19-29; Page 2, Lines 5-14 and Lines 23-31; Page 3, Lines 6-13 and Lines 21-29; and Page 4, Lines 4-12, Appellants' invention is a process for making an article, the article comprising a nanocomposite polymer, the article selected from the group consisting of a structural foamed polymer, a multilayer polymer film, sheet or tube, a pultrusion structural profile, a compression molded polymer article formed from an extruded fiber reinforced polymer pre-form, a strand foamed polymer article and an article formed by the SCORIM process, comprising the steps of: dispersing a multi-layered silicate material with the polymer so that the polymer has dispersed therein single layers of silicate material, double layers of silicate material, triple layers of silicate material, four layers of silicate material, five layers of silicate material and more than five layers of silicate material, the volume percent of the one, two, three, four and five layers of silicate material being greater than the volume percent of the more than five layers of silicate material to form a nanocomposite polymer; the process characterized by the step of forming the nanocomposite article by flowing the nanocomposite polymer to align the planes of the one, two, three, four and five layers of silicate

44114 -2-

material so that more than one half of the planes have the same orientation within thirty degrees as determined by electron microscopy.

In reply to the Office communication mailed July 1, 2003, Appellants elected to prosecute, without traverse, the species comprising structural foamed polymer. Claims 1, 3 and 4 read on the elected species. The Office communication states on Pages 2-3: "Upon allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141."

### **Issues**

(1) Whether Claims 1, 3 and 4 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) over Pinnavavia et al. (5,801,216).

# **Grouping of Claims**

For the purposes of this appeal, the Board may consider all pending claims in one group that stands or falls together.

### Argument

Claims 1, 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pinnavia et al. (5,801,216). This rejection is respectfully traversed for the following reasons.

U.S. Patent 5,801,216 (Pinnavaia) does not teach or suggest a process for making an <u>article</u> comprising a nanocomposite polymer. What Pinnavaia teaches is a process for making a nanocomposite <u>polymer</u>. Pinnavaia does <u>not</u> teach or suggest a process for making an article by <u>forming</u> the nanocomposite polymer into <u>an article</u> by <u>flowing</u> the nanocomposite polymer to <u>align</u> the <u>planes</u> of the one, two, three, four and five layers of silicate material so that more than one half of the planes have the same orientation within thirty degrees as determined by electron microscopy, as required in Claim 1.

Forming the nanocomposite polymer into an article by flowing the nanocomposite polymer to <u>align</u> the planes of the one, two, three, four and five layers

44114 -3-

Appln. No. 09/890,907 Response dated May 13, 2004 Reply to Office Action of May 5, 2004

of silicate material is done by any one of the following processes which are mentioned in the present application:

- (1) Coextrusion Process, mentioned on Page 7, Lines 31-34.
- (2) Pultrusion Process, mentioned on Page 8, Lines 18-22.
- (3) Extrusion Process, mentioned on Page 9, Lines 7-9.
- (4) SCORIM Process, mentioned on Page 9, Lines 25-30.

Pinnavaia does not mention anything about coextrusion, pultrusion, extrusion or SCORIM process.

In the Advisory Action mailed to Appellants on May 5, 2004, the Examiner states that the Abstract of the cited reference teaches forming the composite into a thin layer, such that Claim 1, in reciting the formation of a film, is readable on the reference.

Claim 1 recites a process for the formation of a <u>multilayer</u> film, among other things, and is not readable on the cited reference.

The Abstract referred to by the Examiner reads:

"...The flexible composites are particularly useful for <u>seals</u> and other <u>thin</u> <u>layer applications</u>."

The "thin layer" applications are described in column 7, line58, to column 8, line 9 of the cited reference as follows:

"The flexible composites of the present invention are particularly useful as sealants and flexible adhesives....Other uses where a relatively thin flexible layer is needed are for instance in the dampening of interfaces between vibrating surfaces."

The above description does not disclose the formation of a multilayer polymer film, as required by Claim 1.

In view of the above remarks, Appellants submit that Claims 1 and 3-4 are patentable under 35 USC 103(a) over Pinnavia et al. (5,801,216).

44114 -4-

Appln, No. 09/890,907 Response dated May 13, 2004 Reply to Office Action of May 5, 2004

# **Conclusion**

In view of the above remarks, Appellants respectfully request that the Final Rejection of Claims 1, 3 and 4 by the Examiner be reversed and that a Notice of Allowance as to these claims be issued.

Respectfully submitted,

Nemia C. Damocles

Registration No. 28,368

Phone: (989) 636-9928

P. O. Box 1967 Midland, MI 48641-1967

NCD/blr

44114 -5-

5

10

15

# APPENDIX A

- 1. A process for making an article, the article comprising a nanocomposite polymer, the article selected from the group consisting of a structural foamed polymer, a multilayer polymer film, sheet or tube, a pultrusion structural profile, a compression molded polymer article formed from an extruded fiber reinforced polymer pre-form, a strand foamed polymer article and an article formed by the SCORIM process, comprising the steps of: dispersing a multi-layered silicate material with the polymer so that the polymer has dispersed therein single layers of silicate material, double layers of silicate material, triple layers of silicate material, four layers of silicate material, five layers of silicate material and more than five layers of silicate material, the volume percent of the one, two, three, four and five layers of silicate material being greater than the volume percent of the more than five layers of silicate material to form a nanocomposite polymer; the process characterized by the step of forming the nanocomposite article by flowing the nanocomposite polymer to align the planes of the one, two, three, four and five layers of silicate material so that more than one half of the planes have the same orientation within thirty degrees as determined by electron microscopy.
- The process of Claims 1-2, wherein the weight percent of the multi layered silicate material dispersed in the polymer is in the range of from one to twenty percent.
  - 4. The process of Claims 1-2, wherein the weight percent of the multilayered silicate material dispersed in the polymer is in the range of from two to ten percent.